

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,417	09/05/2003	Gabor Vamberi	RU-144US 7564		
24314	7590 02/02/200	6	EXAMINER		
JANSSON, SHUPE, MUNGER & ANTARAMIAN, LTD 245 MAIN STREET			WARD, JOHN A		
RACINE, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2875		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/656,417	VAMBERI, GABOR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John A. Ward	2875		

Advisory Action	10/656,417	VAMBERI, GABOR				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John A. Ward	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		educina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially to	oddonig or ompmymg	11,010000010			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		. timesty filed amondus	ant conceling			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>nated</u> Notice of Appeal will nated in the of the	iot be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a [1].			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Please see the response to arguments enclosed						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
		OHN ANTHONY WA	• •			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner has considered the arguments set forth by the applicant has considered the arguments not persuasive for the following reasons.

The prior art cited by the examiner was from the prior art cited from the web pages copyrighted 2000 discloses all the anticipated limitations including the fins being engagable to the slots on the housing of the light fixture.

Furthermore the limitation of claim 3 wherein the fins is equivalent to the fin engaging element are shown in figure of the prior art located on the third page of the cited prior art copyrighted 2000, not to mention there is no mention in the specification to the criticality to the number of fins attached to the housing of the light fixture. Page one of the prior art also cited that fins can be decorative i.e. having indicia.

Regarding the argument that the prior art of Lutrex or Blandford neither teach or suggest an LED strip or a transparent fin, it is old and well known technology in the art to use transparent material that are light weight and can emit light, also it is known that a plurality of light emitting diodes can be used in place of a single incandescent or fluorescent lamp.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW January 30, 2006

> JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAM!NER